

*Shoreline Change Along the
South Carolina Coast:
Implications for the
Ecological Environment*

Bob Van Dolah

Marine Resources Research Institute

South Carolina Department of Natural Resources

Shoreline Change Will Occur!

- On our front beaches where it is not easy to retreat



Shoreline Change Will Occur!

- On our front beaches where we can retreat





If No Retreat: Two Choices (sort of)



Beach Armoring Problems

- Good for protecting upland but
- No longer permitted
- Bad for beach ecosystem
 - Loss of the dune system (supports bird nesting, habitat for other fauna, more natural protection)
 - Prohibits nesting of threatened, endangered turtles
 - Altered beach fauna that live in the sands
 - Less attractive beach for human uses



Beach Nourishment Concerns

- **Changes in beach characteristics (direct)**

 - Impacts to invertebrate communities*

 - Impacts to turtle nesting*

 - Impacts to bird populations?*

 - Duration of effects*

- **Changes in borrow area characteristics (direct)**

 - Impacts to bottom invertebrate communities*

 - Impacts to fish and invertebrate predators*

 - Alterations sediment characteristics affecting re-use of area*

 - Duration of effects*

Beach Nourishment Studies

- **South Carolina DNR Monitoring Program**

- Hilton Head Island (3)
- Kiawah Island (1 – beach scraping only)
- Folly Beach (3)
- Myrtle Beach (3- one ongoing)
- MMS Borrow Area Study (6 – physical recovery only)


- **National Research Council Review (1995)**

- Beach and adjacent uplands for birds, plants, turtles (7)
- Beach intertidal and nearshore subtidal (24)
- Borrow areas (21)

- **Bergquist and Crowe (SCDNR 2009)**

- Review of commonalities/differences among SC projects(7)

Impacts on the Beach



What have we learned?

- *Only short-term adverse effects on intertidal invertebrate communities* *In SC recovery within 1-<6 mo.*
- *Similar in many other areas if beach sediment type and slope not drastically altered.*
- *Largely due to use of similar types of sand*



What have we learned?

- Effects on ghost crab populations significant and longer term (> 1 year in two SC studies)



Photo Credit - Carrie Dixon




- Effects on turtle populations not extensively studied in SC
 - Where examined more positive than negative effects.
 - Mixed results in other studies
 - Grading important.

Photo courtesy Blair Witherington




Borrow Area Impacts


- Dredging of the “borrow site” removes all the bottom fauna
 - *Biological recovery variable and generally long term (> 1 year)*
 - *Impacts greatest when sediment composition changes*



Borrow Area Impacts

- Dredging of the “borrow site” removes all the bottom fauna
 - *Biological recovery can occur within 1 year if sediment type is similar*
- *Rate and type of sediment refilling area*
 - *Dependent on depth of hole and location along beach*





Beach Nourishment Effects?

- **Nearshore zone impacts (indirect)**

 - Limited studies – no major impacts to fauna in surf zone*

 - Limited studies, no obvious impacts to fish and crustaceans*

 - Possible burial of nearshore hard bottom habitats (Grand Strand only)*

- **Effects on Inlet Dynamics (indirect)**


 - Changes in inlet stability (observed at Folly and Kiawah)*

 - Loss or expansion of small barrier islands that serve as bird rookeries or bird feeding areas (e.g. piping plovers)*

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change – Report 4, 2007

Sea-level rise (m)

IPCC AR4
(90% confidence limits)





More Projects!!
Greater Frequency!!
Risk of Significant Proportion of Time in Recovery





Sea Level Rise Effects on Estuarine Shorelines

Potential Impacts on several resources

- Marsh wetlands
- Threatened and endangered species
- Oyster populations






Provided by Dr. Clark Alexander
Skidaway Institute of Oceanography



Fertilized plots (high biomass) had rates of sediment accretion that were significantly greater than controls, ***but in no case was the rate of accretion as great as the rate of sea-level rise***


Slides courtesy of James Morris,
Baruch Institute, USC

Below Critical Elevations in North Inlet, SC




About ½ of the marsh area at North Inlet is below the critical depth of 35 cm. At depths greater than the critical depth, the marsh cannot keep up with rising sea level.

Beaufort and Sea Islands Projected Change in Marsh versus water Base versus 2100 (IPCC Mean of 39 cm)



Beaufort and Sea Islands

Projected Change in Marsh versus water Base versus 2100 (IPCC Max of 69 cm)




Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge



> 75% of the Refuge is < 10 ft above MSL

Percent of Refuge lost under different sea level rise scenarios



Oyster Reefs Provide

- Food
- Filters
- Habitat for other animals
- Marsh breakwater



95% of South Carolina's oysters are located above low water



Oyster Reefs in May River Area



Conclusions

- We will have to deal with increasing coastal erosion problems on our beaches
- If retreat is not an option, nourishment needs to be done
 - In a way that minimizes long term adverse ecological effects
 - Brings new sand into the active beach system
- Effects of sea level rise on our marsh wetlands uncertain
 - Could be significant losses if sedimentation rates too low
 - Too much shoreline becomes armored
- Oyster resources should be fairly resilient
 - Unless marshes and sediment beds are destabilized
- Fate of threatened/endangered species uncertain